

MINIMALITY OF THE HOROCYCLE FLOW ON LAMINATIONS BY HYPERBOLIC SURFACES WITH NON-TRIVIAL TOPOLOGY

FERNANDO ALCALDE CUESTA^{1,2}, FRANÇOISE DAL'BO³, MATILDE MARTÍNEZ⁴,
AND ALBERTO VERJOVSKY⁵

ABSTRACT. We consider a minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. We prove that if no leaf is simply connected, then the horocycle flow on its unitary tangent bundle is minimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The geodesic and horocycle flows on hyperbolic surfaces are two classical examples of flows in homogeneous spaces. Their dynamical and ergodic properties were studied in the 1930s by E. Hopf and G.A. Hedlund.

A compact hyperbolic surface S is the quotient of the Poincaré upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ by a cocompact torsion-free Fuchsian group Γ . Its unit tangent bundle T^1S , that can be seen as the quotient of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Γ , is the phase space of these flows. The geodesic flow is uniformly hyperbolic on T^1S , and its stable manifolds are precisely the horocyclic orbits. Alternatively, these flows can be seen as coming from the diagonal and upper-triangular unipotent one-parameter subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\Gamma \backslash PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

In this context, Hedlund proved in 1936 that the horocycle flow is minimal – that is, all its orbits are dense [12].

M. Ratner completed in the 1990s the ergodic-theoretical and topological descriptions of the dynamics of unipotent groups on homogeneous spaces, with a conclusive theorem in a subject that had seen important contributions by S.G. Dani, H. Furstenberg, G.A. Margulis, J. Smillie, among others.

Hedlund's theorem has a converse (see for example [7]): If the horocycle flow on a hyperbolic surface S is minimal, then S must be compact. In fact the dynamics of the horocycle flow is also well understood for *geometrically finite* surfaces in general (i.e. those whose fundamental group is finitely generated): in the restriction to the non wandering set of the flow, the orbits are dense or periodic (see for example [8]).

The topological behavior of horocycles poses many questions in the case where the surface is geometrically infinite (i.e. its fundamental group is not finitely generated). M. Kulikov has constructed in [16] a *geometrically infinite* surface without minimal sets for the horocycle flow and S. Matsumoto has announced other examples in [18] and revisited them in [19]. The dynamics of the recurrent horocycle orbits under different assumptions has been extensively studied by Y. Coudène, F. Ledrappier, F. Maucourant and B. Schapira, among others.

From the point of view of ergodic theory, in the infinite-volume setting, A.N. Starkov conjectured that this flow is ergodic (with respect to Liouville measure) if and only if the action of Γ on the boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}$ is ergodic (with respect to Lebesgue measure). By duality, this is equivalent to the ergodicity of the joint action of the geodesic and horocycle flows on T^1S , which is just the action of the affine group B . This result was proved in a special case by M. Babillot and F. Ledrappier and independently by M. Pollicott, and in general by V. Kaimanovich. For these and

other related results see for example [23] and [15]. See also [20] for a complete survey in the more general setting of pinched Hadamard manifolds.

In the present paper we consider a compact lamination $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by hyperbolic surfaces. Its unit tangent bundle is a lamination obtained by taking the unit tangent bundles of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, and it is defined by a continuous $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action. We study the horocycle flow—that is, the action of the upper triangular unipotent subgroup U of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ —on this space when the lamination is minimal. The actions of the diagonal group D and the affine group B play an important role in this study. The idea of studying the geodesic flow for compact laminations is not new. Its dynamics and its relation with the dynamics of the lamination $\mathcal{F}$ have been studied in several contexts, see for example [3], [14].

As the lamination is minimal, one might expect that the compactness of the ambient space forces the minimality of the horocycle flow, like for compact hyperbolic surfaces. Nevertheless, this is not the case, since there are examples where not even the B -action is minimal (see [1] and [17]). The last two authors have posed the following question some years ago: *Is the minimality of the B -action equivalent to the minimality of the horocycle flow?*

The main result of this paper gives a positive answer to the question above for a large family of laminations by hyperbolic surfaces:

Theorem 1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. If $\mathcal{F}$ has no simply connected leaves, then the horocycle flow on the unitary tangent bundle $T^1\mathcal{F}$ is minimal.*

Minimality of the foliated horocycle flow has already been proved in an algebraic setting. More precisely, in *Remarks on the dynamics of the horocycle flow for homogeneous foliations by hyperbolic surfaces* ([1]), the authors prove the minimality of the horocycle flow for the homogeneous case using algebraic methods. On the other hand, in the work *Horocycle flows for laminations by hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and Hedlund's theorem* ([17]), the authors consider a general compact minimal lamination by hyperbolic surfaces and they mostly study, with topological tools, the action of the affine group generated by the joint action of the horocycle and geodesic flows. Its main contribution when it comes to the subject of the horocycle flow is to prove that under certain conditions it is topologically transitive, and to present examples where different types of dynamical behaviour appear, which in turn leads to the conjecture which is addressed in the present paper.

A foliation by hyperbolic surfaces that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 is the *Hirsch foliation*, briefly described below. A more detailed description of it can be found in [5, Page 371]. It was this foliation that motivated many of the ideas present in this paper. Furthermore, we believe that in this example, because of the simplicity of its construction, many of the arguments we use become particularly transparent.

Example 1 (The Hirsch foliation). Let P be the closed unit disk minus two open disks of radius $1/4$ centered at $-1/2$ and $1/2$ in the complex plane; namely, a *pair of pants*. The set P is then invariant under the involution $\sigma : Z \in P \mapsto -Z \in P$. The suspension $\mathbf{S}$ of σ is a non-trivial fiber bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$, whose holonomy interchanges the two interior boundary components of the pair of pants. Therefore, it can be obtained from a solid torus removing from its interior a thinner solid torus wrapped two times around the generator of its fundamental group. Let $p : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be the fibration. The boundary of $\mathbf{S}$ consists of two tori T_1 and T_2 . The restriction of p to the external torus T_1 is itself a fibration p_1 over $\mathbb{S}^1$ with fiber $\mathbb{S}^1$, while the restriction of p to the internal torus T_2 is obtained from the fibration p_2 over




FIGURE 1. The Hirsch foliation and its leaves

S^1 with fiber S^1 by composing with the covering map sending $z = e^{2\pi i\theta} \in S^1$ to $z^2 = e^{2\pi i2\theta} \in S^1$. (see Figure 1(a)).

Let M be the 3-manifold obtained from $\mathbf{S}$ by glueing smoothly T_1 and T_2 via a diffeomorphism h that sends $p_1^{-1}(z)$ to $p_2^{-1}(z)$ for every z in S^1 . For example, $h(Z, z) = (Zz/4 + 1/2, z^2)$ where we identify each point $(Z, z) \in \partial P \times S^1$ with its image in T_1 . The fibration in $\mathbf{S}$ projects onto a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ having two types of leaves: there are countably many leaves of genus one and a Cantor set of ends, which correspond to periodic points of the doubling map $f(\theta) = 2\theta \pmod{1}$, and all other leaves have no genus and a Cantor set of ends (they are so-called *Cantor trees*). All leaves are dense and those of genus one are exactly the ones with non-trivial holonomy (see Figure 1(b)-1(c)).

The Hirsch foliation admits many structures of foliation *by hyperbolic surfaces*, as explained in Section 2. The moduli space of such structures has recently been described in [2].

The non-homogeneous Lie foliations constructed by G. Hector, Matsumoto and G. Meigniez in [11] are other examples of minimal foliations satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1 for which the horocycle flow is always minimal.

This paper has two main parts. The first one, contained in Sections 3 and 4, prove a statement that is apparently weaker than Theorem 1 (see Theorem 3 in Section 3). Basically, this result states that for laminations which are similar to the Hirsch foliation the horocycle flow is minimal. The second one, contained in Section 5, which is purely topological and is unrelated to the horocycle flow, says that, roughly speaking, laminations without simply connected leaves are similar to the Hirsch foliation. More precisely, its main result is the following:

Theorem 2. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *No leaf is simply connected.*

- (ii) *There is a leaf that has an essential loop with trivial holonomy.*
- (iii) *There is a leaf which is geometrically infinite.*
- (iv) *All leaves are coarsely tame.*

The concept of *coarsely tame* hyperbolic surfaces will be defined in Section 2. Coarsely tame surfaces are a special kind of geometrically infinite hyperbolic surfaces.

Together, Theorems 2 and 3 prove Theorem 1. Furthermore, Theorem 2 tells us precisely for which laminations the topological dynamics of the horocycle flow is still unclear. In fact, rephrasing Theorem 2 we get:

Corollary 1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is a simply connected leaf.*
- (ii) *There is a leaf that is geometrically finite.*
- (iii) *All leaves are geometrically finite.*
- (iv) *All essential loops have non-trivial holonomy.*

For some of these laminations, though, the horocycle flow is known not to be minimal, but neither is the corresponding B -action. Thus, in the geometrically finite case, the question whether the minimality of the B -action implies the minimality of the horocycle flow remains open.

Theorem 1 remains valid for any compact lamination by surfaces of variable negative curvature. Such a example can be obtained, for example, by multiplying the uniformized hyperbolic metric by any positive function which is close to 1 in the C^2 topology. The geometric arguments used in Section 4 can be adapted to any surface of pinched negative curvature whereas the uniformization theorem of [4] and [24] can be used to prove that if no leaf is simply connected, then all the leaves satisfy the hypothesis of the Key Lemma.

Acknowledgements. We thank Shigenori Matsumoto for kindly sending us an unpublished note on the subject of horocycle flows without minimal sets. We are also grateful to the referees of Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems for a very careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions. This work was partially supported by Spanish Excellence Grants MTM2010-15471 and MTM2013-46337-C2-2-P, Galician Grant GPC2015/006 and European Regional Development Fund, Grupo CSIC 618 (UdelaR, Uruguay) and project PAPIIT-DGAPA IN103914 (UNAM, Mexico).

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Hyperbolic surfaces. A hyperbolic surface S is the quotient of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$ under the left action of a torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ of the group $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}$. This group acts freely and transitively on the unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathbb{H}$ of $\mathbb{H}$, which means that we can make the identification $T^1S = \Gamma \backslash PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

When Γ is of finite type we say that S is *geometrically finite*, else it is *geometrically infinite*.

Definition 1. We say that S is *coarsely tame* if it is noncompact and there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that any geodesic ray in S either stays in a compact region or intersects infinitely many closed geodesics whose lengths are bounded from above by b .

Remark 1. (i) A coarsely tame surface is geometrically infinite and its fundamental group is a purely hyperbolic Fuchsian group of the first kind— that is, its limit set is

the whole boundary at infinity of the hyperbolic plane. In particular, it has infinite area.

(ii) If furthermore it has bounded geometry as defined in [6, Section 3], there exists a lower bound $a > 0$ of the injectivity radius of S , hence any geodesic ray in S either stays in a compact region or intersects infinitely many closed geodesics whose lengths are bounded between a and b .

For instance, the leaves of the Hirsch foliation are coarsely tame of bounded geometry. Also, if Γ is a cocompact Fuchsian group and N is a proper normal subgroup of infinite index, then $N \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is coarsely tame of bounded geometry. (See [22].)

Let D and U be the diagonal and unipotent subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Their right actions define the geodesic flow g_t and the horocycle flow h_s on T^1S , respectively. Therefore, the joint action of g_t and h_s is the action of the affine group

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, the subset $\{g_t(u) : t \in I\}$ of the orbit of a point $u \in T^1S$ under the geodesic flow is $g_I(u)$, and a similar notation will be used for subsets of horocycle orbits.

The projection $\pi : T^1S \rightarrow S$ is the canonical projection that assigns to each vector in T^1S its base point.

Laminations. A compact *lamination* by surfaces $(M, \mathcal{F})$ (or simply $\mathcal{F}$) consists of a compact metrizable space M together with a family $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ such that

- (1) $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an open covering of M ,
- (2) $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow D \times T$ is a homeomorphism, where D is a disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and T is a separable locally compact metrizable space, and
- (3) for $(x, t) \in \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}(x, t) = (\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^t(x), \tau_{\alpha\beta}(t))$, where $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^t$ is smooth and depends continuously on t in the C^∞ topology.

We will always work in the leafwise smooth setting unless otherwise stated, although C^3 leafwise regularity would be enough for all our purposes.

Each U_α is called a *foliated chart*, a set of the form $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\{x\} \times T)$ being its *transversal*. The sets of the form $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(D \times \{t\})$, called *plaques*, glue together to form maximal connected surfaces called *leaves*.

A lamination is said to be *minimal* if all its leaves are dense.

The tangent bundle of the lamination $\mathcal{F}$ is the $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundle over M which can be trivialized on each foliated chart

$$\begin{aligned} (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (p, v) &\mapsto (p, d\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^t(p)(v)). \end{aligned}$$

It is itself a (noncompact) lamination, whose leaves are the tangent bundles of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Laminations by hyperbolic surfaces. In each foliated chart we can endow each plaque with a Riemannian metric, in a continuous way. Glueing these local metrics with partitions of unity gives a Riemannian metric on each leaf, which varies continuously in the C^∞ topology as we move from leaf to leaf. In particular, leaves of a compact lamination always have bounded geometry.

When $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is endowed with such a metric, we define the *unit tangent bundle* $T^1\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ as the subset of the tangent bundle containing vectors of unit length. It is a circle bundle over M , and it is a lamination whose leaves are the unit tangent bundles of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Furthermore, we will assume that there is a Riemannian metric for which all leaves are *hyperbolic surfaces*, that is, they have constant curvature -1 . In fact, any given Riemannian metric endows each leaf with a conformal structure, or equivalently, with a Riemann surface structure. If all leaves are uniformized by the disk, then the uniformization is continuous and leaves become hyperbolic surfaces. See [4] and [24]. The existence of these hyperbolic metrics turns out to be a purely topological condition. It is equivalent to every leaf having positive volume entropy. This is independent of the metric because, M being compact, the restriction to leaves of all Riemannian metrics are quasi-isometric.

Once each leaf has a hyperbolic structure, there is a right continuous $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ whose orbits are the unit tangent bundles to the leaves. We will also denote by g_t and h_s the geodesic and horocycle flows on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ defined by the action of the one-parameter subgroups D and U . The natural right B -action on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ combines these two flows.

3. THE HOROCYCLE FLOW ON A MINIMAL FOLIATION BY COARSELY TAME LEAVES

In next sections, we will prove the following (apparently weaker) version of the main theorem which was stated in the Introduction:

Theorem 3. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic coarsely tame surfaces. Then the horocycle flow on the unitary tangent bundle $T^1\mathcal{F}$ is minimal.*

The best known example of an object in the hypotheses of this theorem is probably the Hirsch foliation (described in the introduction). Studying the dynamics of the horocycle flow in its unit tangent bundle will involve the understanding of the dynamics of the horocycle flow on a single leaf, and also considerations about the interplay between the dynamics of the lamination and that of the horocycle flow on individual leaves.

Proposition 1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal compact lamination such that*

- (i) *the affine action $T^1\mathcal{F} \curvearrowright B$ is minimal,*
- (ii) *the horocycle flow on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ is transitive, i.e. $\exists u \in T^1\mathcal{F}$ such that $\overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)} = T^1\mathcal{F}$.*

Let $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ be a minimal set for the horocycle flow in $T^1\mathcal{F}$, i.e. a non-empty closed U -invariant subset of $T^1\mathcal{F}$ which is minimal under inclusion. If there is a real number $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{t_0}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M} = T^1\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{M} \neq T^1\mathcal{F}$, then

$$C = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : g_t(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset\} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : g_t(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}\}$$

is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, so it is either trivial or cyclic.

By hypothesis, C is nontrivial and hence the B -invariant set

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} g_t(\mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, t_0]} g_t(\mathcal{M})$$

is closed. Then

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}) = T^1\mathcal{F}$$

by B -minimality.

Let $u \in T^1\mathcal{F}$ be a point with a dense horocycle orbit. There is $t \in [0, t_0]$ such that $g_t(u) \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $g_t(h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)) = h_{\mathbb{R}}(g_t(u))$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant, $g_t(\overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ and hence $T^1\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}$. $\square$

Therefore, to prove Theorem 3, we have to see that it verifies the hypotheses of Proposition 1.

The first condition, the minimality of the affine action, follows from the minimality of $\mathcal{F}$ and the fact that the B -action restricted to the unitary tangent bundle of each (coarsely tame) leaf $L = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is dual to the action of its fundamental group on $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})/B = \partial\mathbb{H}$, and hence both actions are minimal.

As for the second condition, the transitivity of the horocycle flow, since $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, it is enough to prove that the horocycle flow is transitive when restricted to some leaf L . This is true of all surfaces having a fundamental group of the first kind, see for example [8].

Proof of Theorem 3. The condition on the minimal set $\mathcal{M}$ is the object of the next section. More precisely, in the next section we will see that if S is a coarsely tame surface of bounded geometry and $\mathcal{C} \subset T^1S$ is closed and invariant under the horocycle flow, then either $\mathcal{C} = T^1S$ or there exist a $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{t_0}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$. Taking $\mathcal{M}$ as in Proposition 1 and $\mathcal{C}$ to be its intersection with the unit tangent bundle to a leaf, we obtain Theorem 3. $\square$

4. THE HOROCYCLE FLOW ON COARSELY TAME SURFACES OF BOUNDED GEOMETRY

Let S be a coarsely tame hyperbolic surface of bounded geometry.

Recall that the dense horocyclic trajectories on T^1S are characterized as follows (see [8, Theorem 3.1]). Let $\tilde{u} \in T^1\mathbb{H}$, and denote $\tilde{u}(+\infty) \in \partial\mathbb{H}$ the extremity of the geodesic ray defined by $\tilde{u}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Any horodisc centered at $\tilde{u}(+\infty)$ contains points of the orbit $\Gamma\zeta$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ (i.e. $\tilde{u}(+\infty)$ is horocyclic).
- (2) The horocyclic orbit of the projected point in T^1S is dense.

Using this characterization, we see that $u \in T^1S$ has a dense horocyclic orbit whenever $g_{\mathbb{R}^+}(u)$ accumulates in T^1S .


Key Lemma. *Let S be a hyperbolic surface and $u \in T^1S$. If there is a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of closed geodesics in S of lengths $\ell(\alpha_n) \in [a, b]$, with $b \geq a > 0$, and a sequence of times $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\pi(g_{t_n}(u)) \in \alpha_n$, then there exists a time $t_0 > 0$ such that*

$$g_{t_0}(u) \in \overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)}.$$

Proof. The universal cover of S is the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$, and its unit tangent bundle is $T^1\mathbb{H}$. If $\Gamma = \pi_1(S)$, we write $S = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. We lift u to $\tilde{u} \in T^1\mathbb{H}$, that defines a geodesic ray r . Since each geodesic α_n is closed on S , it lifts to a geodesic $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ on $\mathbb{H}$ which is the axis of a hyperbolic element $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$. Let γ_n^+ and γ_n^- be the attracting and repelling fixed points of γ_n on the boundary at infinity of $\mathbb{H}$ (see Figure 2).

Taking the upper-half-plane model of the hyperbolic plane, we can assume that the geodesic ray directed by $\tilde{u}$ is the vertical half-line $r = \{ie^t : t \geq 0\}$, and that the axes $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ are half-circles orthogonal to the real line. By hypothesis, they intersect r . Furthermore, we will assume without loss of generality that when we orient $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ going from γ_n^- to γ_n^+ , the angle between r and $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is smaller or equal than $\pi/2$ for all n . Let $r(t_n)$ be the point ie^{t_n} where r intersects $\tilde{\alpha}_n$.

We will also denote by g and h , respectively, the geodesic and horocycle flows in $T^1\mathbb{H}$.

FIGURE 2. The geodesic ray r and the axes $\tilde{\alpha}_n$

Proving that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{t_0}(u) \in \overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)}$ amounts to finding a sequence $\{\gamma'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in Γ and a sequence of times s_n such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma'_n h_{s_n}(\tilde{u}) = g_{t_0}(\tilde{u}).$$

Let H be the horizontal line passing through i , that is, the projection to $\mathbb{H}$ of the horocycle orbit of the point $\tilde{u}$. The above condition simply states that $\gamma'_n(H)$ approaches the horizontal line passing through ie^{t_0} (see Figure 3). Therefore, it suffices to prove that there exist a sequence $\{\gamma'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in Γ and a constant $t_0 > 0$ such that

- (i) $\gamma'_n \infty \rightarrow \infty$ and
- (ii) $B_{\gamma'_n \infty}(i, \gamma'_n i) \rightarrow t_0$,

where B is the Busemann function given by $B_{\xi}(x, y) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} [d(y, z) - d(x, z)]$. Notice that $B(x, y) \leq 0$ if and only if y belongs to the closed horoball centered at ξ passing through x .

We will prove (i) and (ii) for γ'_n a convenient subsequence of iterates of γ_n .

We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1. We will show that $\gamma_n \infty \rightarrow \infty$. Since $r(t_n) \rightarrow \infty$ and it belongs to the axis $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ of γ_n , one of the endpoints γ_n^+ or γ_n^- of $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ goes to infinity. If there is a subsequence for which $\gamma_n^+ \rightarrow \xi \neq \infty$, then $\gamma_n^- \rightarrow \infty$ and the angle at the point $r(t_n)$ between the ray r and the oriented geodesic $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ would approach π as n grew. This is inconsistent with our assumption that this angle is bounded above by $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore $\gamma_n^+ \rightarrow \infty$.

Looking at the dynamics of $\gamma_n \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H} \cup \partial\mathbb{H}$, we see that $\gamma_n \infty$ does not belong to the interval with endpoints γ_n^+ and γ_n^- , and therefore $\gamma_n \infty \rightarrow \infty$ as stated.

Step 2. We will show that


$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, \gamma_n i) = B_{\infty}(\gamma_n^{-1} i, i) \leq b.$$

We have that

$$B_{\infty}(\gamma_n^{-1} i, i) = B_{\infty}(\gamma_n^{-1} i, \gamma_n^{-1} r(t_n)) + B_{\infty}(\gamma_n^{-1} r(t_n), r(t_n)) + B_{\infty}(r(t_n), i).$$

Computing these three terms gives

$$(1) \quad B_{\infty}(r(t_n), i) = -t_n,$$

FIGURE 3. Horocycles $\gamma'_n H$ approaching $g_{t_0}(H)$

- (2) $B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) \leq d(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) \leq b$, since both $\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)$ and $r(t_n)$ belong to the closed geodesic α_n the length of which is bounded by b , and
 (3) $B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}i, \gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)) \leq d(\gamma_n^{-1}i, \gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)) = d(i, r(t_n)) = t_n$.

Therefore

$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, \gamma_n i) \leq -t_n + b + t_n = b.$$

Notice that, using the same proof, we obtain that for $k > 0$, $B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, \gamma_n i) \leq kb$.

Step 3. We would like to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, \gamma_n i) \geq C.$$

In fact we will see that there is a positive k such that for every n

$$B_{\gamma_n^k \infty}(i, \gamma_n^k i) \geq C.$$

As before, we consider the decomposition

$$B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}i, i) = B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}i, \gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)) + B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) + B_\infty(r(t_n), i),$$

and we will compute each of these three terms.

For the first term we consider the geodesic ray c_n going from $r(t_n)$ to $\gamma_n \infty$. We have that

$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, r(t_n)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d(i, c_n(t)) - d(r(t_n), c_n(t)).$$

Take the geodesic path from γ_n^+ to $r(t_n)$ and the one from $r(t_n)$ to i . They form an angle greater or equal to $\pi/2$ (see Figure 4). Then the geodesic path from $c_n(t)$ to $r(t_n)$ and the one from $r(t_n)$ to i form an angle greater than $\pi/2$ (see Figure 4).

Recall that hyperbolic triangles have the following property, that can be found in [21]:

Property. Let $\theta \in (0, \pi]$ and $d(\theta) = \log(2/(1 - \cos \theta))$. If ABC is a geodesic triangle in $\mathbb{H}$, such that $\widehat{ACB} \geq \theta$, then

$$d(A, B) \geq d(A, C) + d(C, B) - d(\theta).$$

In particular, if $\theta \geq \pi/2$, $d(A, B) \geq d(A, C) + d(C, B) - \log(2)$.

Therefore

$$d(i, c_n(t)) \geq d(i, r(t_n)) + d(r(t_n), c_n(t)) - \log(2) = t_n + t - \log(2),$$

and this proves that

$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, r(t_n)) \geq t_n - \log(2).$$

Now we will deal with the second term.




FIGURE 4. Angles in Step 3

$$B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(r(t), \gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)) - d(r(t), r(t_n)).$$

Remark that $d(r(t), r(t_n)) = t - t_n$.

The angle formed by the geodesic path from $\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n)$ to $r(t_n)$ and the one from $r(t_n)$ to $r(t)$ is greater or equal to $\pi/2$ (see Figure 4). Therefore, we have

$$d(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t)) \geq d(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) + (t - t_n) - \log(2).$$

We also know that $d(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t_n)) = \text{length}(\alpha_n) \geq a$, so we have that

$$d(\gamma_n^{-1}r(t_n), r(t)) - (t - t_n) \geq a - \log(2).$$

As before, the third term is equal to t_n .

Putting everything together, we have that

$$B_{\gamma_n \infty}(i, \gamma_n i) = B_\infty(\gamma_n^{-1}i, i) \geq t_n - \log(2) + a - \log(2) - t_n = a - 2\log(2).$$

To obtain the desired conclusion, it would be enough to verify that

$$a - 2\log(2) > 0.$$

This might not hold, but if we replace γ_n with γ_n^k for a sufficiently large k , we will get

$$B_{\gamma_n^k \infty}(i, \gamma_n^k i) \geq ka - 2\log(2) > 0.$$

An appropriate subsequence of the γ_n^k satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). □

Notice that the Key Lemma also holds if S is a Riemannian surface whose sectional curvature is less or equal than -1 .

Using Remark 1 and Lemma 4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let S be a coarsely tame hyperbolic surface of bounded geometry. Then, for any tangent vector $u \in T^1 S$, either $\overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)} = T^1 S$ or there is a real number $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{t_0}(u) \in \overline{h_{\mathbb{R}}(u)}$.*

Notice that Corollary 2 holds in the more general context where S admits a pair of pants decomposition such that any geodesic ray either stays in a compact region or intersects infinitely many closed geodesics whose length belongs to some interval $[a, b]$, with $b \geq a > 0$, depending on the ray. Surfaces for which there exists b are called *weakly tame* in [22].

Using this corollary, we retrieve a result due to S. Matsumoto (see [18], [19]) saying that the horocycle flow on $T^1 S$ admits no minimal sets.

To see this, let $S = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be a coarsely tame hyperbolic surface of bounded geometry, and suppose that $\mathcal{C} \subset T^S$ is a minimal set. Since S is non-compact, $\mathcal{C} \neq T^1 S$ (see [8]). Using Corollary 2 we get t_0 such that $g_{t_0}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, if $u \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{u}$ is a lift of u to $T^1 \mathbb{H}$, the point at infinity $\tilde{u}(+\infty)$ is non-horocyclic. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let ζ_k be the base point of $\tilde{g}_{kt_0}(\tilde{u})$, where $\tilde{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the geodesic flow. Notice that since $g_{kt_0}(u) \in \mathcal{C}$, there exist $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in Γ such that $\gamma_n \tilde{h}_{t_n}(\tilde{u})$ converges to $\tilde{g}_{kt_0}(u)$. This implies that $B_{\gamma_n(\tilde{u}(+\infty))}(\zeta_0, \gamma_n \zeta_0) = B_{\tilde{u}(+\infty)}(\gamma_n^{-1} \zeta_0, \zeta_0)$ converges to $B_{u(+\infty)}(\zeta_0, \zeta_k) = kt_0$. Hence Γ_{ζ_0} meets a horodisc centered at $\tilde{u}(+\infty)$ —but this is not the case, since $\tilde{u}(+\infty)$ is not horocyclic.

5. TOPOLOGY OF LEAVES

The purpose of this section is to show that there are in fact many foliations which are similar to the Hirsch foliation. More precisely, we will prove Theorem 2, which has been stated in the Introduction.

The proof of this theorem will make use of two preliminary results. The first one is about simply connected leaves and the second one is the construction of a certain type of uniformization of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. We also will make use of the following remark, which is in itself an interesting fact about compact laminations by hyperbolic surfaces:

Remark 2. A compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces has the property that no leaf has a cusp. This is because the injectivity radius of all leaves is bounded below by a positive constant. A more dynamical way to see it is the following: If there were a leaf with a cusp, the horocycle flow on the unit tangent bundle would have a closed orbit h (a closed horocycle) and the geodesic flow g_t would have the property that the diameter of $g_t(h)$ converges to 0. Taking a subsequence the set $g_{t_n}(h)$ would converge to a fixed point of the geodesic flow, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 2. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be minimal compact lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. Then $\mathcal{F}$ has no simply connected leaves if and only if there is a leaf that contains an essential loop with trivial holonomy.*

Proof. Assume that a compact lamination $(M, \mathcal{F})$, which is not necessarily minimal, has no simply connected leaves. A theorem due to D.B.A. Epstein, K.C. Millett and D. Tischler [9] and independently to G. Hector [10] states that the leaves with trivial holonomy form a residual set. Since any leaf in this residual set is not simply connected, it must contain an essential loop which has obviously trivial holonomy. Reciprocally, assume that there is a leaf L that contains an essential loop $c_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow L$ without holonomy. By the standard length-shortening arguments c is freely homotopic to a closed geodesic in L , and we can assume that it is in fact a closed geodesic parametrized by arc length by a periodic function $c_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$ of period s_0 . Since it has trivial holonomy and the metric varies continuously from leaf to leaf in the C^∞ topology (although C^3 is enough), Reeb's stability theorem implies that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a small transversal T through the point $t_0 = c_0(0)$ and a smooth map $\hat{c} : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow M$ such that:

- (1) $\hat{c}(s, t_0) = c_0(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) $\hat{c}(s + s_0, t) = \hat{c}(s, t)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in T$. Let $c_t(s) = \hat{c}(s, t)$.
- (3) For all t in T the curve $c_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ has image in a leaf L_t of the lamination passing through $t \in T$.
- (4) For all t in $T - \{t_0\}$ the curve $c_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is closed and there is a geodesic α_t in L_t such that $d(\alpha_t(s), c_t(s)) < \varepsilon$ for $s \in [0, s_0]$.

Let us join the points $\alpha_t(s_0)$ and $\alpha_t(0)$ by the geodesic segment β_t in L_t that realizes the distance between them. If ϵ is sufficiently small, the concatenation of $\alpha_t|_{[0,s_0]}$ and β_t is freely homotopic to c_t . Since L_t has negative curvature, this means that c_t is essential in L_t . Finally, as $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, any leaf of L' meets the image of $\hat{c}$ and hence there exists $t \in T$ such that $L' = L_t$ is not simply connected. $\square$

By construction, the continuous map $\hat{c} : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow M$ factors over a continuous extension $c : \mathbb{S}^1 \times T \rightarrow M$ of the closed geodesic c_0 so that the loop $c_t = c|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{t\}}$ is essential in the leaf L_t for each $t \in T$. In fact, using again Reeb's stability theorem as above, the inclusion of a ϵ -tubular neighborhood A of c_0 into M extends to a continuous map $c : A \times T \rightarrow M$ such that $c(A \times \{t\})$ is a ϵ -tubular neighborhood of the essential loop c_t into the leaf L_t for all $t \in T$. Note the persistence of the closed geodesic in the free homotopic class of c_t when the hyperbolic metric varies in the transverse direction. In fact, by the normal hyperbolicity of the geodesic flow in the sense of M.W. Hirsch, C.C. Pugh and M. Shub [13], the closed geodesic varies continuously in the C^∞ topology, and we can finally assume that every essential loop c_t is in fact a simple closed geodesic.

Definition 2. Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a compact minimal lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. Then a smooth map $\Phi : D \times T \rightarrow M$ is a *uniform systole cylinder cover* if:

- (1) D is diffeomorphic to a cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (0, 1)$.
- (2) Φ is a local homeomorphism (which is not necessarily a covering map) and $\Phi(D \times T) = M$.
- (3) For each $t \in T$ the map $\Phi_t : D \rightarrow M$, $x \mapsto \Phi(x, t)$, has image in the leaf L_t of the lamination passing through $\Phi(x_0, t)$, where x_0 is a fixed point of D , and $\Phi_t : D \rightarrow \Phi_t(D) \subset L_t$ is a local diffeomorphism.
- (4) Let g^* be the continuous leafwise Riemannian metric on $D \times T$ which is the pull-back metric, under Φ , of the continuous metric g on M which renders all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ hyperbolic. Under these two metrics restricted to the leaves, Φ_t is a local isometry between $D \times \{t\}$ and its image in L_t .
- (5) For each $t \in T$ the surface $D \times \{t\}$ with the metric induced by g^* has a unique closed geodesic c_t , the systole of the cylinder, and it is a tubular neighborhood of this geodesic whose fiber has uniformly bounded length.

Theorem 4. Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a compact minimal lamination by hyperbolic surfaces. If there is an essential loop without holonomy, then $\mathcal{F}$ admits a uniform systole cylinder cover.

Proof. According to the proof of Proposition 2 and the subsequent discussion, we have a continuous map $c : \mathbb{S}^1 \times T \rightarrow M$, where each c_t is a simple closed geodesic on a leaf L_t .

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the Poincaré disk with the Poincaré metric and $F : \mathbb{D} \times T \rightarrow M$ be the global uniformization map (see [4] and [24]), i.e. the continuous map that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The restriction F_t of F to $\mathbb{D} \times \{t\}$ is a uniformization of L_t , i.e. it is a covering map which is a local isometry between the Poincaré disc and the hyperbolic leaf L_t .
- (2) $F(0, t) = c_t(1)$ and $\frac{d}{dz}(F(z, t))|_{z=0} = \dot{c}_t(1)$.

Let $\tilde{c}_t$ be the lift of c_t which passes through $0 \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\gamma_t \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$ that has axis $\tilde{c}_t$ and such that c_t is the quotient of $\tilde{c}_t$ by the cyclic group generated by γ_t . Remark that γ_t varies continuously with t .

We define the skew-cylinder

$$N = \mathbb{D} \times T / \sim$$




FIGURE 5. A systole cylinder

where $(z, t) \sim (\gamma_t z, t)$, with the induced Riemannian metric along the z -direction.

Then the uniformization map F can be factorized through N

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D} \times T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N = \mathbb{D} \times T / \sim \\ & \searrow F & \swarrow \varphi \\ & M & \end{array}$$

verifying

- (1) There exists a diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow N$ which sends $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \times \{t\}$ onto the systole c_t .
- (2) The map φ is a local homeomorphism; in particular it is an open map.
- (3) Restricted to $\mathbb{D} \times \{t\}$ and $\pi(\mathbb{D} \times \{t\})$ respectively, both π and φ are locally isometric covering maps.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $N_k = f(\mathbb{S}^1 \times (-k, k) \times T)$. Its image under φ is open in M , and $\{\varphi(N_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of M . Since M is compact, there exists a k_0 for which $\varphi(N_{k_0}) = M$, and therefore the restriction of φ to N_{k_0} defines a uniform systole cylinder cover

$$\Phi : D \times T = \mathbb{S}^1 \times (-k_0, k_0) \times T \xrightarrow{f} N_{k_0} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M.$$

□

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. The equivalence $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$ has been proved in Proposition 2, and now we will prove $(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

$(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ Since $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, it is clear that all its leaves are noncompact. We wish to prove that there are positive constants a and b such that if L is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and r is any geodesic ray going to infinity in L , then r must intersect infinitely many closed geodesics with lengths between a and b . This is equivalent to proving that any geodesic ray going to infinity in L intersects one geodesic with length between a and b .

We start by constructing a uniform systole cylinder cover and later we proceed in three steps. Indeed, under the hypotheses that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal and that it has a leaf containing an essential loop without holonomy, Theorem 4 gives us a uniform systole cylinder cover $\Phi : D \times T \rightarrow M$, where, for every $t \in T$, $D_t = D \times \{t\}$ is an (incomplete) hyperbolic cylinder which is a tubular neighborhood of its unique closed geodesic. The boundary components of ∂D_t are curves of constant geodesic curvature greater than 0 and less than 1. When lifted to the hyperbolic plane, they

give two hypercycles, one at each side of the lift of the systole of D_t , joining its points at infinity (see Figure 5). The lengths of the systole and each of the boundary components of D_t are bounded by two positive constants a and b which can be chosen uniformly for all t . In fact, we will simply take a to be the lower bound of the injectivity radius on $\mathcal{F}$.

Step 1. In the first step, each leaf L is covered by the countable family of surfaces

$$\mathcal{U}_L = \{\Phi(D_t) : L = L_t\}.$$

Each element $S \in \mathcal{U}_L$ is an open hyperbolic surface of finite genus, which is relatively compact in L . Since $\Phi|_{D_t}$ arises from the uniformization covering $F_t : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L_t$, its boundary is a union of finitely many piecewise smooth simple closed curves contained in the images of boundary components of D_t . We will actually refer to each of these by the term ‘boundary component’ of S even if in general the connected components of the boundary are non-simple curves composed of several of these ‘boundary components’. Notice that even if some boundary components of S can be inessential in L , there are always essential boundary components of S in L .

Step 2. Let r be a geodesic ray that goes to infinity on L . It must intersect infinitely many of the surfaces $S \in \mathcal{U}_L$. In this second step, we will see that for infinitely many of them, there is at least one boundary component of S whose algebraic intersection number with r is not zero. To define the intersection number of a ray with a closed curve, we must remove from L the starting point of the ray and consider the intersection number in this new noncompact surface. We proceed in two stages:

Step 2.1. First, we fix a surface $S \in \mathcal{U}_L$ containing the starting point of r and we assume that the intersection number of r with every boundary component of S is zero. Let C be the first boundary component of S that is intersected by r with the orientation induced by the orientation of S . Consider a parametrization $r : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow L$ of the ray r . Since r intersects C , it does so a finite number of times $\{t_1, \dots, t_{2n}\}$, having intersection number $+1$ for n times (including t_1) and intersection number -1 for other n times.

There are two consecutive parameter t_i and t_{i+1} where the intersection numbers have opposite sign. Then we substitute r for the concatenation of $r|_{[0, t_i]}$, β_i and $r|_{[t_{i+1}, +\infty)}$, where β_i is a segment of C that joins $r(t_i)$ and $r(t_{i+1})$. We have thus reduced the number of intersection points of the ray r with the boundary component C . Arguing inductively, we obtain a new curve that does not cut C but that still goes to infinity. Until it meets another boundary component of S , the new curve remains in the closure of S . Since we can do the same for the next boundary component of S that is intersected by r , we can inductively construct a curve that goes to the same point at infinity as r remaining in the closure of S , which is absurd.

Step 2.2. In general, L is covered by an increasing sequence of relatively compact open hyperbolic surfaces which are made up of finitely many surfaces in $\mathcal{U}_L$. Repeating the same argument used for S for each of those surfaces, we deduce that the algebraic intersection of r with at least one boundary component is not zero for infinitely many surfaces in $\mathcal{U}_L$.

Step 3. In the final step, we consider a surface $S \in \mathcal{U}_L$ such that:

- (1) its boundary is far from the starting point of r in the sense that no boundary component of S meets an open ball B centered at this point,
- (2) the intersection number of r with a boundary component C of S is not zero.

Then the surface which is obtained by removing the starting point admits a complete Riemannian metric having the same closed geodesics of length $\leq b$ than the original one outside of the neighborhood B of the new end. Then C is freely homotopic to a closed geodesic α , and the ray r must intersect it since their intersection number is

still nonzero. Furthermore, since the length of C is between a and b and α cannot be longer than C , we have proved that r intersects a closed geodesic of length between a and b , as desired.

This shows that L is coarsely tame with bounded geometry.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Any surface which is coarsely tame with bounded geometry is geometrically infinite, so in fact all leaves are geometrically infinite.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let L be a leaf which is geometrically infinite and take $x \in L$. Its fundamental group $\pi_1(L, x)$ is not finitely generated, and its holonomy group $Hol(L, x)$ is a finitely generated quotient of $\pi_1(L, x)$. The non-trivial kernel of $\pi_1(L, x) \rightarrow Hol(L, x)$ is precisely the set of homotopy classes of loops based at x without holonomy. □

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alcalde Cuesta and F. Dal'Bo. Remarks on the dynamics of the horocycle flow for homogeneous foliations by hyperbolic surfaces. *Expo. Math.*, Available online 20 July 2015, 2015.
- [2] S. Alvarez and P. Lessa. The Teichmüller space of the Hirsch foliation. 2015. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.01531>.
- [3] Ch. Bonatti, X. Gómez-Mont, and R. Vila-Freyer. Statistical behaviour of the leaves of Riccati foliations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 30(1):67–96, 2010.
- [4] A. Candel. Uniformization of surface laminations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26(4):489–516, 1993.
- [5] A. Candel and L. Conlon. *Foliations. I*, volume 23 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [6] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, and M. Taylor. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(1):15–53, 1982.
- [7] F. Dal'bo. Topologie du feuilletage fortement stable. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 50(3):981–993, 2000.
- [8] F. Dal'Bo. *Geodesic and horocyclic trajectories*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2011. Translated from the 2007 French original.
- [9] D. B. A. Epstein, K. C. Millett, and D. Tischler. Leaves without holonomy. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 16(3):548–552, 1977.
- [10] G. Hector. Feuilletages en cylindres. In *Geometry and topology (Proc. III Latin Amer. School of Math., Inst. Mat. Pura Aplicada CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, 1976)*, pages 252–270. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 597. Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [11] G. Hector, S. Matsumoto, and G. Meigniez. Ends of leaves of Lie foliations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 57(3):753–779, 2005.
- [12] G. A. Hedlund. Fuchsian groups and transitive horocycles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 2(3):530–542, 09 1936.
- [13] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh, and M. Shub. *Invariant manifolds*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [14] S. Hurder. Ergodic theory of foliations and a theorem of Sacksteder. In *Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87)*, volume 1342 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 291–328. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [15] V. A. Kaimanovich. Ergodic properties of the horocycle flow and classification of Fuchsian groups. *J. Dynam. Control Systems*, 6(1):21–56, 2000.
- [16] M. Kulikov. The horocycle flow without minimal sets. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 338(6):477–480, 2004.
- [17] M. Martínez, S. Matsumoto, and A. Verjovsky. Horocycle flows for laminations by hyperbolic riemann surfaces and hedlund's theorem. 2015. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2307>.
- [18] S. Matsumoto. Dynamical systems without minimal sets. 2003. <http://gf2003.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/abstract/data/GF2003/1058425808-matsumo.ps>.
- [19] S. Matsumoto. Horocycle flows without minimal sets. 2014. [arXiv:1412.0410v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0410v2).
- [20] T. Roblin. Ergodicité et équidistribution en courbure négative. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (95):vi+96, 2003.
- [21] A. Sambusetti. Asymptotic properties of coverings in negative curvature. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(1):617–637, 2008.

- [22] O. Sarig. The horocyclic flow and the Laplacian on hyperbolic surfaces of infinite genus. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(6):1757–1812, 2010.
- [23] A. N. Starkov. Fuchsian groups from the dynamical viewpoint. *J. Dynam. Control Systems*, 1(3):427–445, 1995.
- [24] A. Verjovsky. A uniformization theorem for holomorphic foliations. In *The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984)*, volume 58 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 233–253. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

¹ GEODYNAPP - ECSING GROUP, SPAIN.

² INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, E-15782, SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, SPAIN.

E-mail address: `fernando.alcalde@usc.es`

³ INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUES DE RENNES, UNIVERSITÉ DE RENNES 1, F-35042 RENNES, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `francoise.dalbo@univ-rennes1.fr`

⁴ INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y ESTADÍSTICA RAFAEL LAGUARDIA, FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, J.HERRERA Y REISSIG 565, C.P.11300 MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY.

E-mail address: `matildem@fing.edu.uy`

⁵ UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, APARTADO POSTAL 273, ADMON. DE CORREOS #3, C.P. 62251 CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MEXICO.

E-mail address: `alberto@matcuer.unam.mx`